

December 12, 2006

**Ex Parte**

Ms. Marilyn Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, MB Docket No. 05-311

Dear Secretary Dortch,

This notice is to record my attempt to have an ex parte meeting with Commissioners MacDowell, Tate, Adelstein, Copps and Charman Martin. I intended to state my concerns directly via phone or via voicemail on December 12, 2006. I was unable to complete this attempt because the commissioners' staff assistants were unavailable and their voice mail was full with the exception of Chairman Martin. I was able to reach an assistant in Chairman Martin's office who directed me to an assistant at the Media Bureau whose voice mail was also full. The following are the comments I intended to make on half of Columbia Access Television, in Columbia, Missouri:

We unite with Alliance for Community Media members in calling for competition without destruction of local, community controlled media.

1) The proposed rule eliminates incentive for providers to negotiate in good faith. If the city and the provider do not come to agreement within 90 days, the provider can proceed without an agreement. They can then make billions of dollars using our public land without considering local needs. This framework would be unreasonable.

2) The proposed rule lacks a remedy for geographic discrimination. Public, Education and Government Access, or PEG, are tools to engage our local communities in

democracy. Democratic participation should be for all, not based on a company business rule. The public-right-of-way is owned by all in our community, not just those in an area lucky enough to be served. We believe that inevitable market imbalances must be anticipated by the FCC, as they

were by Congress, and that any rule-making must provide these three elements:

- A) A standard for identifying imbalances in service.
  - B) A party responsible for identifying the imbalance—logically, the municipality.
  - C) A means for prevention or remedy of the imbalance.
- 3) The proposed rule reduces the support for PEG or other community media services from what is allowed by current Federal law. We believe this is an arbitrary reduction which will hurt our communities. It is in direct contradiction to language authored by telephone companies and already passed in key states such as California and Texas. This reduction would eliminate a valued community resource with no demonstrated effect on either subscriber price or level of competition.
- 4) The changes being proposed to the law are dramatic. We believe that such changes to the law should be made by Congress, not the FCC. These changes will slow competition by confusing the legal framework. Such changes should be decided by law-makers, not the courts. The FCC should not usurp Congressional authority.

We look forward to working with the FCC to establish a process which supports both competition and community fairness. Please contact us if you have questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Marlys Johnson  
Board Member, Columbia Access Television  
15 Anderson  
Columbia, Missouri 65203  
573-449-5538  
[johnsonmh@missouri.edu](mailto:johnsonmh@missouri.edu)

CC: Christina Pauze  
Chris Robbins  
Heather Dixon  
Rudy Brioche  
Bruce Gottlieb  
My Congressional Delegation